arXiv:1601.06654v3 [math.AC] 27 Jan 2016

NESTED ARTIN APPROXIMATION

DORIN POPESCU

Abstract. A short proof of the linear nested Artin approximation property of the algebraic power series rings is given here.
Key words : Henselian rings, Algebraic power series rings, Nested Artin approximation property
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13B40, Secondary 14B25,13J15, 14B12.

Introduction

The solution of an old problem (see for instance [3]), the so-called nested Artin approximation property, is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 ([4], [5, Theorem 3.6])**.** *Let k be a field, $A = k\langle x\rangle$, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ the algebraic power series over k, $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_s) \in A[Y]^s$, $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_m)$ and $0 < r_1 \leq \ldots \leq r_m \leq n$, c be some non-negative integers. Suppose that f has a solution $\hat{y} = (\hat{y}_1, \ldots, \hat{y}_m)$ in $k[[x]]$ such that $\hat{y}_i \in k[[x_1, \ldots, x_{r_i}]]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ (that is a so-called nested formal solution). Then there exists a solution $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ of f in A such that $y_i \in k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{r_i}\rangle$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $y \equiv \hat{y} \mod (x)^c k[[x]]$.*

The proof relies on an idea of Kurke from 1972 and the Artin approximation property of rings of type $k[[u]]\langle x\rangle$ (see [4], [9], [5]). When f is linear, interesting relations with other problems and a description of many results on this topic are nicely explained in [2]. Also a proof of the above theorem in the linear case can be found in [2] using just the classical Artin approximation property of A (see [1]). Unfortunately, we had some difficulties in reading [2], but finally we noticed a shorter proof using mainly the same ideas. This proof is the content of the present note.

We owe thanks to G. Rond who noticed a gap in a previous version of this note.

1. Linear nested Artin approximation property

We start recalling [8, Lemma 9.2] with a simplified proof.

Lemma 2. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete normal local domain, $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$, $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_m)$, $B = A[[u]]\langle v\rangle$ be the algebraic closure of $A[[u]][v]$ in $A[[u, v]]$ and $f \in B$. Then there exists g in the algebraic closure $A\langle v, Z\rangle$ of $A[v, Z]$, $Z = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_s)$ in $A[[v, Z]]$ for some $s \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\hat{z} \in A[[u]]^s$ such that $f = g(\hat{z})$.*

The support from the the project ID-PCE-2011-1023, granted by the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS - UEFISCDI is gratefully acknowledged.

Proof. Changing f by $f - f(u = 0)$ we may assume that $f \in (u)$. Note that B is the Henselization of $C = A[[u]][v]_{(\mathfrak{m},u,v)}$ by [7] and so there exists some etale neighborhood of C containing f. Using for example [9, Theorem 2.5] there exists a monic polynomial F in X over $A[[u]][v]$ such that $F(f) = 0$ and $F'(f) \notin (\mathfrak{m}, u, v)$, let us say $F = \sum_{i,j} F_{ij} v^i X^j$ for some $F_{ij} \in A[[u]]$.

Set $\hat{z}_{ij} = F_{ij} - F_{ij}(u = 0) \in (u)A[[u]]$, $\hat{z} = (\hat{z}_{ij})$ and $G = \sum_{ij}(F_{ij}(u = 0) + Z_{ij})v^i X^j$ for some new variables $Z = (Z_{ij})$. We have $G(\hat{z}) = F$. Set $G' = \partial G/\partial X$. As

$$G(Z = 0) = G(\hat{z}(u = 0)) \equiv F(f) = 0 \text{ modulo } (u),$$

$$G'(Z = 0) = G'(\hat{z}(u = 0)) \equiv F'(f) \not\equiv 0 \text{ modulo } (\mathfrak{m}, u, v)$$

we get $G(X = 0) \equiv 0$, $G'(X = 0) \not\equiv 0$ modulo $(\mathfrak{m}, v, Z)$. By the Implicit Function Theorem there exists $g \in (\mathfrak{m}, v, Z)A\langle v, Z\rangle$ such that $G(g) = 0$. It follows that $G(g(\hat{z})) = 0$. But $F = G(\hat{z}) = 0$ has just a solution $X = f$ in $(\mathfrak{m}, u, v)B$ by the Implicit Function Theorem and so $f = g(\hat{z})$. □

Lemma 3. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring, $f \in A[U]$, $U = (U_1, \ldots, U_s)$ a linear system of polynomial equations, $c \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\hat{u}$ a solution of f in the completion $\hat{A}$ of A. Then there exists a solution $u \in A^s$ of f such that $u \equiv \hat{u}$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}^c\hat{A}$.*

Proof. Let $B = A[U]/(f)$ and $h : B \to \hat{A}$ be the map given by $U \to \hat{u}$. By [4, Lemma 4.2] (or [6, Proposition 36]) h factors through a polynomial algebra $A[Z]$, $Z = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_s)$, let us say h is the composite map $B \xrightarrow{t} A[Z] \xrightarrow{g} \hat{A}$. Choose $z \in A^s$ such that $z \equiv g(Z)$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}^c\hat{A}$. Then $u = t(cls\ U)(z)$ is a solution of f in A such that $u \equiv \hat{u}$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}^c\hat{A}$. □

Proposition 4. *Let $k\langle x, y\rangle$, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ be the ring of algebraic power series in x, y over a field k and $M \subset k\langle x, y\rangle^p$ a finitely generated $k\langle x, y\rangle$-submodule. Then*

$$k[[x]](M \cap k\langle x\rangle^p) = (k[[x, y]]M) \cap k[[x]]^p,$$

equivalently $M \cap k\langle x\rangle^p$ is dense in $(k[[x, y]]M) \cap k[[x]]^p$, that is for all $\hat{v} \in (k[[x, y]]M) \cap k[[x]]^p$ and $c \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists $v_c \in (M \cap k\langle x\rangle)^p$ such that $v_c \equiv \hat{v}$ modulo $(x)^c k[[x]]^p$. Moreover, if $c \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\hat{v} = \sum_{i=1}^t \hat{u}_i a_i$ for some $a_i \in M$, $\hat{u}_i \in k[[x, y]]$ then there exist $u_{ic} \in k\langle x, y\rangle$ such that $u_{ic} \equiv \hat{u}_i$ modulo $(x)^c k[[x, y]]$, $v_c = \sum_{i=1}^t u_{ic} a_i \in (M \cap k\langle x\rangle^p)$ and $\hat{v}$ is the limit of $(v_c)_c$ in the (x)-adic topology.

Proof. Let $\hat{v} \in (k[[x, y]]M) \cap k[[x]]^p$, let us say $\hat{v} = \sum_{i=1}^t \hat{u}_i a_i$ for some $a_i \in M$ and $\hat{u}_i \in k[[x, y]]^p$. By flatness of $k[[x]]\langle y\rangle \subset k[[x, y]]$ we see that there exist $\tilde{u}_i \in k[[x]]\langle y\rangle$ such that $\hat{v} = \sum_{i=1}^t \tilde{u}_i a_i$. Moreover by Lemma 3 we may choose $\tilde{u}_i$ such that $\tilde{u}_i \equiv \hat{u}_i$ modulo $(x)^c k[[x, y]]$. Then using Lemma 2 there exist $g_i \in k\langle y, Z\rangle$, $i \in [t]$ for some variables $Z = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_s)$ and $\hat{z} \in k[[x]]^s$ such that $\tilde{u}_i = g_i(\hat{z})$. Note that $a_i = \sum_{r \in \mathbf{N}^m} a_{ir} y^r$, $g_i = \sum_{r \in \mathbf{N}^m} g_{ir} y^r$ with $a_{ir} \in k\langle x\rangle^p$, $g_{ir} \in k\langle Z\rangle$.

Clearly, $\hat{z}, \hat{v}$ is a solution in $k[[x]]$ of the system of polynomial equations $V = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i g_i(Z)$, $V = (V_1, \ldots, V_p)$ if and only if it is a solution of the infinite system of polynomial equations

$(*)$ $V = \sum_{i=1}^t a_{i0} g_{i0}(Z)$, $\quad \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{r+r'=e} a_{ir} g_{ir'}(Z) = 0$, $e \in \mathbf{N}^m$, $e \neq 0$.

Since $k\langle x, Z, V\rangle$ is Noetherian we see that it is enough to consider in $(*)$ only a finite set of equations, let us say with $e \leq \omega$ for some ω high enough. Applying the Artin approximation property of $k\langle x\rangle$ (see [1]) we can find for any $c \in \mathbf{N}$ a solution $v_c \in k\langle x\rangle^p$, $z_c \in k\langle x\rangle^s$ of $(*)$ such that $v_c \equiv \hat{v}$ modulo $(x)^c k[[x]]^p$, $z_c \equiv \hat{z}$ modulo $(x)^c k[[x]]^s$. Then $v_c = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i g_i(z_c) \in M \cap k\langle x\rangle^p$, and $u_{ic} = g_i(z_{ic}) \in k\langle x, y\rangle$ satisfies $u_{ic} \equiv \tilde{u}_i \equiv \hat{u}_i$ modulo $(x)^c k[[x, y]]$. Clearly $\hat{v}$ is the limit of $(v_c)_c$ in the (x)-adic topology and belongs to $k[[x]](M \cap k\langle x\rangle^p)$. □

Remark 5. When $p = 1$ then the above M is an ideal and we get the so-called (see [2]) strong elimination property of the algebraic power series.

The following proposition is partially contained in [2, Lemma 4.2].

Proposition 6. *Let $M \subset k\langle x\rangle^p$ be a finitely generated $k\langle x\rangle$-submodule and $1 \leq r_1 < \ldots < r_e \leq n$, $p_1, \ldots, p_e$ be some positive integers such that $p = p_1 + \ldots + p_e$. Then*

$$T = M \cap (k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{r_1}\rangle^{p_1} \times \ldots \times k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{r_e}\rangle^{p_e})$$

is dense in

$$\hat{T} = (k[[x]]M) \cap (k[[x_1, \ldots, x_{r_1}]]^{p_1} \times \ldots \times k[[x_1, \ldots, x_{r_e}]]^{p_e}).$$

Moreover, if $c \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\hat{v} = \sum_{i=1}^t \hat{u}_i a_i \in \hat{T}$ for some $a_i \in M$, $\hat{u}_i \in k[[x]]$ then there exist $u_{ic} \in k\langle x\rangle$ such that $u_{ic} \equiv \hat{u}_i$ modulo $(x)^c k[[x]]$, $v_c = \sum_{i=1}^t u_{ic} a_i \in T$ and $\hat{v}$ is the limit of $(v_c)_c$ in the (x)-adic topology.

Proof. Apply induction on e, the case $e = 1$ being done in Proposition 4. Assume that $e > 1$. We may reduce to the case when $r_e = n$ replacing M by $M \cap k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{r_e}\rangle^p$ if $r_e < n$. Let

$$q : \Pi_{i=1}^{p_e} k[[x_1, \ldots, x_{r_i}]]^{p_i} \to \Pi_{i=1}^{p_{e-1}} k[[x_1, \ldots, x_{r_i}]]^{p_i},$$

$$q' : \Pi_{i=1}^{p_e} k[[x_1, \ldots, x_{r_i}]]^{p_i} \to k[[x_1, \ldots, x_{r_e}]]^{p_e}$$

be the canonical projections, $\hat{v} = (\hat{v}_1, \ldots, \hat{v}_p) \in \hat{T}$, and $M_1 = q(M)$. Assume that $\hat{v} = \sum_{i=1}^t \hat{u}_i a_i$ for some $\hat{u}_i \in k[[x]]$, $a_i \in M$. By induction hypothesis applied to M_1, $q(\hat{v})$ given $c \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists $u_{ic} \in k\langle x\rangle$ with $u_{ic} \equiv \hat{u}_i$ modulo $(x)^c k[[x]]$ such that $v'_c = \sum_{i=1}^t u_{ic} q(a_i) \in q(T)$ and $q(\hat{v})$ is the limit of $(v'_c)_c$ in the (x)-adic topology.

Now, let $v''_c = \sum_{i=1}^t u_{ic} q'(a_i) \in k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n\rangle^{p_e}$. We have $v''_c \equiv q'(\hat{v})$ modulo $(x)^c k[[x]]^{p_e}$. Then $v_c = (v'_c, v''_c) = \sum_{i=1}^t u_{ic} a_i \in T$, $v_c \equiv \hat{v}$ modulo $(x)^c k[[x]]^p$ and $\hat{v}$ is the limit of $(v_c)_c$ in the (x)-adic topology. □

Corollary 7. *Theorem 1 holds when f is linear.*

Proof. If f is homogeneous then it is enough to apply Proposition 6 for the module M of the solutions of f in $A = k\langle x\rangle$. Suppose that f is not homogeneous, let us say f has the form $g + a_0$ for some system of linear homogeneous polynomials $g \in A[Y]^s$ and $a_0 \in A^s$. The proof in this case follows [2, page 7] and we give it here only for the sake of completeness. Change f by the homogeneous system of linear polynomials $\bar{f} = g + a_0 Y_0$ from $A[Y_0, Y]^s$. A nested formal solution $\hat{y}$ of $\bar{f}$ in $k[[x]]$ with $\hat{y}_i \in K[[x_1, \ldots, x_{r_i}]]$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ induces a nested formal solution $(\hat{y}_0, \hat{y})$, $\hat{y}_0 = 1$

of $\bar{f}$ with $r_0 = r_1$. As above, for all $c \in \mathbf{N}$ we get a nested algebraic solution (y_0, y) of $\bar{f}$ with $y_i \in k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{r_i}\rangle$ and $y_i \equiv \hat{y}_i$ modulo $(x)^c k[[x]]$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$. It follows that y_0 is invertible and clearly $y_0^{-1}y$ is the wanted nested algebraic solution of f. □

References

[1] M. Artin, *Algebraic approximation of structures over complete local rings*, Publ. Math. IHES, **36** (1969), 23-58.

[2] F. -J., Castro-Jiménez, G. Rond, *Linear nested Artin approximation theorem for algebraic power series*, arXiv:AC/1511.09275.

[3] H. Kurke, T. Mostowski, G. Pfister, D. Popescu, M. Roczen, *Die Approximationseigenschaft lokaler Ringe*, Springer Lect. Notes in Math., **634**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, (1978).

[4] D. Popescu, *General Neron Desingularization and approximation*, Nagoya Math. J., **104** (1986), 85-115.

[5] D. Popescu, *Artin Approximation*, in "Handbook of Algebra", vol. 2, Ed. M. Hazewinkel, Elsevier, 2000, 321-355.

[6] D. Popescu, *Artin approximation property and the General Neron Desingularization*, arXiv:AC/1511.06967.

[7] M. Raynaud, *Anneaux locaux henséliens*, Springer Lect. Notes, Berlin, 1970.

[8] G. Rond, *Artin approximation*, arXiv:AC/1506.04717.

[9] R. Swan, *Néron-Popescu desingularization*, in "Algebra and Geometry", Ed. M. Kang, International Press, Cambridge, (1998), 135-192.

Dorin Popescu, Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Research unit 5, University of Bucharest, P.O.Box 1-764, Bucharest 014700, Romania

E-mail address: dorin.popescu@imar.ro